Health information on the Internet

Gold mine or minefield?

Tabitha Tonsaker MSc Gillian Bartlett PhD Cvetan Trpkov

he Internet has revolutionized the way information is shared and accessed. Information retrieval is easier now than ever before. Since the rise of modern search engines, social networks, and ubiquitous access through devices such as smartphones and tablet or laptop computers, information is available at people's fingertips almost any time of the day. As of 2010, 80% of Canadian households were connected to the Internet.1

One important domain in which the Internet plays an increasing role is health information access. Seventy percent of Canadians go online to search for medical or health-related information,² and it has been reported that the Internet, rather than physicians, is the first source of information for many people.3 Considering how easy it is to Google search "bad cough," it is not surprising that many people make an attempt at selfdiagnosis using the Internet before waiting hours in crowded walk-in clinics or emergency departments to consult professionals. The flow of information has fundamentally changed, and physicians have less control over health information relayed to patients. Not surprisingly, this paradigm shift has elicited varied and sometimes conflicting views about the value of the Internet as a tool to improve health care.

Tag the good and bad

Online health information can increase patients' knowledge of, competence with, and engagement in health decision-making strategies.4 Independent online inquiries can complement and be used in synergy with doctor-patient interactions in the clinic, which often become highly time constrained. Patients now have the ability to find answers for additional or forgotten questions, as well as the opportunity to explore sensitive or embarrassing questions in the comfort and privacy of their own homes. Furthermore, through emerging patient-centred websites, blogs, and support communities, patients can share their personal health and illness experiences; they can offer special insights and reflections from the lived experiences of their specific health conditions, which physicians might not be able to provide. This type of information might help patients to become better informed about their illness, and also reduce feelings of loneliness and isolation. This becomes especially beneficial for those patients who are immobile and homebound as a result of debilitating illness.5,6

Cet article se trouve aussi en français à la page 419.

Online health information also presents some inherent difficulties. Online health information is difficult to regulate, meaning quality control is a challenge, and, further, patients vary widely in their health information literacy. Bad health information used in an improper way can be highly detrimental. Patients might trust misleading information or might make important health decisions based on sensationalized or emotionally charged stories that are not relevant to their health context.7,8 The Internet can also be used as a platform for the promotion of esoteric and unscientifically founded health practices. Patients are often in a vulnerable position, and many are willing to accept information that provides a sense of hope and control. Owing to a lack of technical knowledge, some patients are also unable to critically assess or might misinterpret health information. These factors can lead to a false sense of knowledge and security, and potentially noncompliance if the patient adopts beliefs that conflict with appropriate medical practices. While the traditional role of the physician as the custodian and provider of health information might have contributed to the paternalistic nature of the traditional doctor-patient relationship, it also allowed physicians, under ideal circumstances, to ensure that each patient received medically valid information tailored to his or her level of understanding.

Trustworthy networks

Research shows that physicians' espouse somewhat ambivalent, "moderately positive" views toward patient Internet use for health information.9 Doctors recognize that Internet use allows them to explain complicated health issues more easily, and they believe Internet use has the potential to improve patient outcomes. On the contrary, physicians are also concerned about patients developing unnecessary fears about their health, observing that patients often come with irrelevant and inaccurate information. Because of this, doctors are worried about Internet use exacerbating health care costs through nonessential referrals or treatments. 10 Despite such concerns, most physicians said they had little difficulty addressing information that was accessed by their patients and believed that they were competent when it came to clarifying patients' misguided or inaccurate information. One issue that remained among many physicians was the difficulty in suggesting up-to-date and trustworthy resources on the Internet. Physicians expressed the need to be trained in Internet use as it relates to patient

health information so that they would be aware of which websites to recommend for patient use.9

Despite the notion that people are passive recipients of health information on the Internet, regardless of its claim or source, many patients are also wary of the information they find online. Patients are often unsure about which websites to trust and are concerned about accessing potentially misleading or illegitimate health information on the Internet.¹¹ For this reason, individuals often triangulate their sources in order to confirm facts or health suggestions. 12 However, when it comes to confirming online health-related information with their physicians, many patients are hesitant. Some patients are afraid their doctors might perceive it as a challenge to their expertise and authority,9 highlighting the need for open dialogue between doctors and patients about online health information and appropriate resources.

Stay connected

Physicians will be increasingly faced with patients who have retrieved online health information. As the largest group of Internet users continues to age and develops a greater need for health services, diagnostics, and treatments, physicians must be prepared for the Internet to play a key role in health care delivery. Whether or not physicians believe medical professionals should remain the sole protectors and regulators of health information, it is important that they are mindful of how the Internet is being used among their patients. Patients are no longer influenced only by their physicians' health advice and recommendations. Online health information offers alternative options, insights, and a broader knowledge base for patients. Health care workers are entering a new world of medicine wherein they must be responsible for information deliberation and dialogue with their patients.

Furthermore, there is also an onus on physicians, other health care workers, and researchers to ensure that legitimate and trustworthy online health care resources exist for patient use. This information should be available in a user-friendly, comprehensible, and accessible format. This can be achieved through the development of valid evidence-based Web resources that are presented in "patient-friendly" formats. However, simply developing websites is not enough; it will be necessary to inform physicians about current, up-to-date, and valid health resources that they can suggest to their patients.

There is currently an opportunity to turn an online minefield of health facts, figures, and stories into a gold mine of information, which could potentially have a considerable effect on the improvement of patient health. Going beyond the traditional paternalistic doctorpatient relationship model, effective use of online health information has the potential to enhance the patientphysician health partnership. This will require open discussion between doctors and patients about online health information and information-access practices, as well as the development of appropriate online resources for patients that are designed by qualified professionals.

Ms Tonsaker was a master's student at McGill University in Montreal, Que, at the time of writing this article. Dr Bartlett is Associate Professor and Graduate Program Director in the Department of Family Medicine and Mr Trpkov is a fourth-year medical student, both at McGill University.

Competing interests

None declared

Correspondence

Ms Tabitha Tonsaker, Department of Family Medicine, McGill University, 5858 Chemin de la Côte-des-Neiges, Suite 300, Montreal, QC H3S 1Z1; telephone 604 816-0980; e-mail tabitha.tonsaker@alumni.ubc.ca

The opinions expressed in commentaries are those of the authors. Publication does not imply endorsement by the College of Family Physicians of Canada.

References

- 1. Statistics Canada [website]. 2010 Canadian Internet use survey. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada; 2013. Available from: www.statcan.gc.ca/ daily-quotidien/110525/dq110525b-eng.htm. Accessed 2012 May 18
- 2. Statistics Canada [website]. 2009 Canadian Internet use survey. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada; 2011. Available from: www.statcan.gc.ca/ daily-quotidien/100510/dq100510a-eng.htm. Accessed 2012 May 18.
- 3. Hesse BW, Moser RP, Rutten LJ. Surveys of physicians and electronic health information. N Engl J Med 2010;362(9):859-60.
- 4. Iverson SA, Howard KB, Penney BK. Impact of Internet use on health-related behaviors and the patient-physician relationship: a survey-based study and review. J Am Osteopath Assoc 2008;108(12):699-711.
- 5. Van Uden-Kraan ĈF, Drossaert CH, Taal E, Shaw BR, Seydel ER, van de Laar MA. Empowering processes and outcomes of participation in online support groups for patients with breast cancer, arthritis, or fibromyalgia. Qual Health Res 2008;18(3):405-17.
- 6. Gabriel Y. The voice of experience and the voice of the expert—can they speak to each other? In: Hurwitz B, Greenhalgh T, Skultans V, editors. Narrative research in health and illness. Oxford, Engl: Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2004. p. 168-85.
- 7. Winterbottom A, Bekker HL, Conner M, Mooney A. Does narrative information bias individual's decision making? A systematic review. Soc Sci Med 2008;67(12):2079-88. Epub 2008 Oct 24.
- 8. Ubel PA, Jepson C, Baron J. The inclusion of patient testimonials in decision aids: effects on treatment choices. Med Decis Making 2001;21:60-8.
- 9. Van Uden-Kraan CF, Drossaert CH, Taal E, Smit WM, Seydel ER, van de Llar M. Experiences and attitudes of Dutch rheumatologists and oncologists with regard to their patients' health-related Internet use. Clin Rheumatol 2010;29(11):1229-36. Epub 2010 Apr 11.
- 10. Kim J, Kim S. Physicians' perception of the effects of Internet health information on the doctor-patient relationship. Inform Health Soc Care 2009;34(3):136-48.
- 11. Quintana Y, Feightner JW, Wathen CN, Sangster LM, Marshall JN. Preventive health information on the Internet. Qualitative study of consumers' perspectives. Can Fam Physician 2001;47:1759-65.
- 12. Ziebland S, Chapple A, Dumelow C, Evans J, Prinjha S, Rozmovits L. How the Internet affects patients' experience of cancer: a qualitative study. BMJ 2004;328(7439):564.

-***-